**ENNOH’S PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON ITS FIRST DRAFT COST–BENEFIT ANALYSIS (CBA) SINGLE-SECTOR METHODOLOGY**

**QUESTIONNAIRE**

*Submissions will be published in full unless specific sections are clearly marked as confidential. Participants are responsible for identifying and designating any confidential information contained in their responses.*

*In case you prefer your answer to be identified as an anonymous answer, please indicate this preference when sending your contribution.*

*Submit your response to* *public.consultations@ennoh.eu* *no later than close of business on 17 November.*

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Does the new way of presenting general approach, including the modelling, enhance transparency and comprehension in your view?**
 |
|  |
| 1. **Do you need further explanation about the general approach? In which part/regard?**
 |
|  |
| 1. **Does the enlargement of the methodological scope of infrastructure levels support the assessment process in your view?**
 |
|  |
| 1. **Do you consider the concept of curtailed vs disrupted hydrogen demand understandable? Do you need further explanation? In which part/regard?**
 |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **The revised indicator framework includes now eight indicators: In your view, are the indicators well described, in particular in terms of its relevance and methodology?**
 |
|  |
| 1. **a) The revised indicator framework includes the new indicator B3, B6 and B8.**

**Indicator B3:**1. **In your view, is this benefit indicator well described?**
2. **Do you find it more reasonable to assess the share of green H2 supply in relation to H2 supply or rather H2 demand?**
 |
|  |
| 1. **b) Indicator B6: Which climate year / extreme weather year should be considered for this indictor?**
 |
|  |
| 1. **c) Indicator B8: What is your view on this indicator? Do you need further explanation/example to examine the indicator?**
 |
|  |